

Upper Kings Basin Water Forum

Upper Kings Basin  
Integrated Water Resources Management Plan (IRWMP)

Errata Sheet - Adopted August 21, 2008

Comments and Errata

UKB IRWMP Errata Sheet.xls

	CHANGE FROM	CHANGE TO
<b>Chapter 7:</b>		
Page 7-4, Table 7-1	Flood Plain Management category, Flood Plain Management, Project Opportunities column: The last sentence within this box has been cut-off.	<b>Format table to fit text.</b>
Page 7-12	Findings, sixth bullet item: Change "recharge" to "banking." The practice of storing and later extracting the same water is a groundwater banking operation.	Persons or entities intentionally recharging and/or banking surface water into the groundwater basin shall retain the right to extract and use the water
Page 7-14	Constraints, last sentence: delete "in"; should read "...managing municipal storm water is an uncompensated..."	Use of irrigation systems for managing municipal storm water is an uncompensated use of the irrigation system.
Page 7-15	Discussion, first paragraph, last sentence: Delete both "acquired"	Land for recharge or storm water management can also provide multiple benefits for open space, recreation, or habitat.
Page 7-19	blue inset text box: Clovis is not a party to the reclaimed water agreement which is between the City of Fresno and FID. The last sentence in this box should read "Through an agreement between the City of Fresno and FID, for every 1 AF of reclaimed water pumped into FID's canals the City receives 0.46 AF in exchange surface water." It may be noted in the report that this year the City has agreed to allow a portion of the exchange water to be delivered to City of Clovis recharge facilities due to this years dry weather conditions. So, although the exchange water is the City of Fresno's, the City is willing to consider on a case-by-case basis the use of this water for other programs that benefit the area groundwater basin.	<b>Last sentence in blue text box:</b> Through an agreement between the City of Fresno and FID, for every 1 AF of reclaimed water pumped into FID's canals the City receives 0.46 AF in exchange surface water.
Page 7-28	Constraints: The second reason provided for why additional investments in water conservation aren't cost effective is fragmented.	2) surface water flows do not return to the Kings River, preventing losses to the area;
Page 7-33	blue inset text box: Clovis is not a party to the reclaimed water agreement which is between the City of Fresno and FID. The last sentence in this box should read "Through an agreement between the City of Fresno and FID, for every 1 AF of reclaimed water pumped into FID's canals the City receives 0.46 AF in exchange surface water." It may be noted in the report that this year the City has agreed to allow a portion of the exchange water to be delivered to City of Clovis recharge facilities due to this years dry weather conditions. So, although the exchange water is the City of Fresno's, the City is willing to consider on a case-by-case basis the use of this water for other programs that benefit the area groundwater basin.	<b>Last sentence in blue text box:</b> Through an agreement between the City of Fresno and FID, for every 1 AF of reclaimed water pumped into FID's canals the City receives 0.46 AF in exchange surface water.
<b>Chapter 8:</b>		
Table 8-2	City of Fresno Residential Meter Retrofit Project: Provide explanation in chapter as to while this project didn't make the top 10 list was excluded even though all the boxes to the right are checked off.	Revision of Table 8-2 to include Pass/Fail UWMP; reformat table; remove title "Table 8-1..." on continuation of table on following page.
Page 8-8	Project Overview: Correct spelling of "Construct"	Construct a 36-inch reinforce concrete pipeline...
Page 8-20:	Purpose/Need/Problem, second sentence: Replace "excess" with "available"	In addition, the City of Fresno, which has available surface water capacity during some months of the year, could provide surface water to the City of Clovis.
	Benefits, impacts of the No-Project Conditions: The described project does not have an impact on Fresno's reliability, only Clovis'. If this statement was solely referring to emergency supply reliability, then it would be true.	<b>No change made until further assessments of impacts can be determined</b>

**Chapter 9:**

Page 9-1	Section Introduction, first paragraph, second sentence: Add "it"; should read "...evaluated by the Forum for how it would address..."	Each water management strategy was then evaluated by the Forum for how it would address the identified regional issues and help to meet the IRWMP goals and objectives (Chapter 7).
Page 9-2	RCUP Integration Strategy and Approach, third sentence: Replace "integrating" with "integrate"	
Page 9-7	first sentence at top of page: Replace "implanted" with "implemented"	
Page 9-10	In-Lieu Recharge Projects, first sentence: Add "of"; should read "...or expansion of existing facilities..."	
Page 9-13	second paragraph, last sentence: Add "be"; should read "...the region will be tracked..."	
Page 9-18, 7.b:	hyphenate "re-use"	
Page 9-19, EM 5:	Change "grants" to "grant"	
Page 9-21	top paragraph, last sentence: delete "a"; should read "...Kings is 150,000 to..."	
Page 9-24	second paragraph: Third sentence: Replace "of" with "as"; should read "...priorities as needed..." Following sentence: Delete "a"; should read "For example, large..."	
Page 9-26, Section 9.7.1:	First paragraph, first sentence: Replace "re" with "are"; should read "...these actions are related..." Second paragraph, first sentence: Remove duplicate "that"	
Page 9-28, Section 9.8.2,	first paragraph, last sentence: Replace "he" with "the"; should read "...incidental to the San..."	
Page 9-30	Other Environmental Resources, fifth sentence: Clarify. "...facilities will not be effected since the [blank] will preserve..."	
Page 9-31, Section 9.10,	first sentence: Should read "The Water Forum supports..."	
Page 9-33	California Partnership for the San Joaquin Valley: First sentence: Clarify. "This a state initiated..." Following sentence: Replace "depute" with "deputy"	

**Chapter 10:**

Table 10-2	GOV 3: Description is incomplete.	
Page 10-12	last sentence: Delete first "created"; should read "The independent nature has also created..."	
Page 10-26	CA Action 1 Implement near term community affairs program: First sentence: Add "to"; should read "...are needed to generate..." Second bullet item: Why not develop a one year budget? A six month budget doesn't seem to be practical and won't allow adequate advanced budgeting by participant agencies for inclusion in their budgets for any obligations to the JPA	